arXiv:1702.08287v1 [math.AG] 27 Feb 2017

IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF MINUSCULE AFFINE DELIGNE-LUSZTIG VARIETIES

PAUL HAMACHER AND EVA VIEHMANN

ABSTRACT. We examine the set of $J_b(F)$-orbits in the set of irreducible components of affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties for a hyperspecial subgroup and minuscule coweight μ. Our description implies in particular that its number of elements is bounded by the dimension of a suitable weight space in the Weyl module associated with μ of the dual group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Definition of λ 4
3. Equidimensionality 6
4. Irreducible components in the superbasic case 8
5. Reduction to the superbasic case 14
References 19

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\mathbb{F}_p(\!(t)\!)$ and Γ its absolute Galois group. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_F$ and $k_F \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ its ring of integers and its residue field, and by ϵ a fixed uniformiser. Let L denote the completion of the maximal unramified extension of F, and $\mathcal{O}_L$ its ring of integers. Its residue field is an algebraic closure k of k_F. We denote by σ the Frobenius of L over F and of k over k_F.

Let G be a reductive group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_F$ and denote $K = G(\mathcal{O}_L)$. Then G_F is automatically unramified. We fix $S \subset T \subset B \subset G$, where S is a maximal split torus, T a maximal torus, and B a Borel subgroup of G. There exist k_F-ind schemes called the loop group LG, the positive loop group L^+G and the affine Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}r_G := LG/L^+G$ of G whose k-valued points are canonically identified with $G(L)$, $K = G(\mathcal{O}_L)$ and $G(L)/G(\mathcal{O}_L)$, respectively (compare [21] resp. [33] and [2]).

Let $\mu \in X_*(T)_{\mathrm{dom}}$ and let $b \in G(L)$. Then the affine Deligne-Lusztig variety associated with b and μ is the reduced subscheme $X_\mu(b)$ of $\mathcal{G}r_G$ whose k-valued points are

$$X_\mu(b)(k) = \{g \in G(L)/K \mid g^{-1}b\sigma(g) \in K\mu(\epsilon)K\}.$$

Let $X_{\preceq\mu}(b) = \bigcup_{\mu' \preceq \mu} X_{\mu'}(b)$ where $\mu' \preceq \mu$ if $\mu - \mu'$ is a non-negative integral linear combination of positive coroots. It is closed in the affine Grassmannian and called the closed affine Deligne-Lusztig variety. For minuscule μ (the case we are mainly interested in for this paper) it agrees with $X_\mu(b)$.

Note that up to isomorphism, both affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties depend only on the $G(L)$-σ-conjugacy class $[b] \in B(G)$ of b. An affine Deligne-Lusztig variety $X_\mu(b)$ or $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$ is non-empty if and only if $[b] \in B(G,\mu)$, a finite subset of $B(G)$.

The authors were partially supported by ERC starting grant 277889 "Moduli spaces of local G-shtukas".

The following basic assertion seems to be well-known, but we could not find a reference in the literature.

Lemma 1.1. *The scheme $X_\mu(b)$ is locally of finite type in the equal characteristic case and locally of perfectly finite type in the case of unequal characteristic.*

Proof. The proof of this is the same as the corresponding part of the analogous statement for moduli spaces of local G-shtukas, compare the proof of Theorem 6.3 in [13] (where only the first half of p. 113 of loc. cit. is needed). In that proof, the case of equal characteristic and split G is considered. However, the general statement follows from the same proof. □

Note that in general $X_\mu(b)$ is not quasi-compact since it may have infinitely many irreducible components. It is conjectured to be equidimensional, but this has not been proven in full generality yet. In Section 3 we give an overview about the cases where equidimensionality has been proven. In the case of μ minuscule, which we are primarily interested in here, there are only a few exceptional cases where this is not yet known.

Definition 1.2. For a finite-dimensional k-scheme X we denote by $\Sigma(X)$ the set of irreducible components of X and by $\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X) \subset \Sigma(X)$ the subset of those irreducible components which are top-dimensional.

The affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties $X_\mu(b)$ and $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$ carry a natural action (by left multiplication) by the group

$$J_b(F) = \{g \in G(L) \mid g^{-1}b\sigma(g) = b\}.$$

This action induces an action of $J_b(F)$ on the set of irreducible components.

Previously, only little was known about the set of $J_b(F)$-orbits of irreducible components. From the work of Rapoport and Zink one can obtain that this set of orbits is finite (and one could even deduce the same in much more general situations for G replaced by a parahoric group scheme):

Lemma 1.3. *$J_b(F)\backslash\Sigma(X_\mu(b))$ is finite.*

Proof. By [23, Theorem 1.4, Section 2.1] there is a closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathcal{G}r$ of finite type such that for each $g \in X_\mu(b)$ there is a $j \in J_b(F)$ with $j^{-1}g \in Y$. In particular, it is enough to show that $Y \cap X_\mu(b)$ has only finitely many irreducible components. This follows as $Y \cap X_\mu(b)$ is (perfectly) of finite type. □

This finiteness question has also been considered by several other people, compare for example Fargues [7, Thm. 2.4.13], or recently Mieda [19].

A complete description was previously only known for the groups GL_n and GSp_{2n} and minuscule μ where the action is transitive ([26],[27]), and for some other particular cases, see for example [30] for a particular family of unitary groups and minuscule μ.

To describe the (conjectured) precise number of orbits, denote by $\hat{G}$ the dual group of G in the sense of Deligne and Lusztig. That is, $\hat{G}$ is the reductive group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_F$ that contains a Borel subgroup $\hat{B}$ with maximal torus $\hat{T}$ and maximal split torus $\hat{S}$ such that there exists an Galois equivariant isomorphism $X^*(\hat{T}) \cong X_*(T)$ identifying simple coroots of $\hat{T}$ with simple roots of T. For any $\mu \in X_*(T)_{\mathrm{dom}} = X^*(\hat{T})_{\mathrm{dom}}$ we denote by V_μ the associated Weyl module of $\hat{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$.

In the following we use an element $\tilde{\lambda} \in X_*(T)$ (which is only defined modulo Γ-coinvariants) and its restriction $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}|_{\hat{S}} \in X^*(\hat{S})$ that we define in Section 2. The element λ can be seen as a 'best integral approximation' of the Newton point ν_b of $[b]$.

Conjecture 1.4 (Chen, Zhu)**.** *There exists a canonical bijection between $J_b(F)\backslash\Sigma(X_\mu(b))$ and the basis of $V_\mu(\lambda)$ constructed by Mirkovic and Vilonen in* [20]*, where $V_\mu(\lambda)$ denotes the λ-weight space (for the action of $\hat{S}$) of V_μ.*

In this paper, we describe the set $J_b(F)\backslash\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_\mu(b))$ for minuscule μ. Our main result, Theorem 5.12, implies in particular the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mu \in X_*(T)_{\mathrm{dom}}$ be minuscule, $b \in [b] \in B(G,\mu)$, and $\tilde{\lambda} \in X_*(T)$ an associated element. There exists a canonical surjective map*

$$\phi\colon W.\mu \cap [\tilde{\lambda} + (1-\sigma)X_*(T)] \twoheadrightarrow J_b(F)\backslash\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_\mu(b)).$$

Moreover, this map is a bijection in the following cases.
(1) *G is split.*
(2) *$[b] \cap \mathrm{Cent}_G(\nu_b)$ is a union of superbasic σ-conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{Cent}_G(\nu_b)$.*

Remark 1.6. (a) Let us explain how the theorem is a special case of the conjecture. Since μ is minuscule, we have for any $\tilde{\mu} \in X_*(T)$

$$\dim V_\mu(\tilde{\mu}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tilde{\mu} \in W.\mu \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where now $V_\mu(\tilde{\mu})$ denotes the $\tilde{\mu}$-weight space for the action of $\hat{T}$. Thus indeed we obtain a bijection between the Mirkovic-Vilonen basis of $V_\mu(\lambda)$ and $W.\mu \cap [\tilde{\lambda} + (1-\sigma)X_*(T)]$.

(b) An analogous formula has first been shown by Xiao and Zhu [32] for $[b]$ such that the F-ranks of J_b and G coincide. In this case one can simply choose $\lambda = \nu_b$, the Newton point of $[b]$. It was then observed by Chen and Zhu (in oral communication) that an expression similar to the above should give $|J_b(F)\backslash\Sigma(X_\mu(b))|$ also for general $[b]$, and all μ.

(c) In particular, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 5.12 apply to all cases that correspond to Newton strata in Shimura varieties of Hodge type.

In the case where b is superbasic, we prove the following stronger result, which was conjectured in [11].

Proposition 1.7. *Assume $b \in G(L)$ is superbasic. There exists a decomposition into $J_b(F)$-stable locally closed subschemes*

$$X_\mu(b) = \bigcup_{\substack{\tilde{\mu} \in W.\mu \\ \tilde{\mu}|_{\hat{S}} \preceq \nu_b}} C_{\tilde{\mu}}$$

such that $C_{\tilde{\mu}}$ intersected with any connected component of $\mathcal{G}r_G$ is universally homeomorphic to an affine space. These affine spaces are of dimension $d(\tilde{\mu}) \coloneqq \sum \lfloor \langle \tilde{\mu} - \mu_{\mathrm{adom}}, \hat{\omega}_F \rangle \rfloor$ where we take the sum over all relative fundamental coweights $\hat{\omega}_F$ of $\hat{G}$ and where μ_{adom} denotes the anti-dominant representative in the Weyl group orbit of μ.

Note that varying b within $[b]$ only changes $X_\mu(b)$ by an isomorphism. For suitably chosen $b \in [b]$, the connected components of $C_{\tilde{\mu}}$ are precisely the intersections of $X_\mu(b)$ with some Iwahori-orbit on $\mathcal{G}r_G$ (see [4, Section 3]). Since the latter form a stratification on $\mathcal{G}r_G$, we can apply the localisation long exact sequence to calculate the cohomology of $X_\mu(b)$. For example for the constant sheaf one obtains the following result.

Corollary 1.8. *Assume $b \in G(L)$ is superbasic and denote by $J_b(F)^0$ the (unique) parahoric subgroup of $J_b(F)$. Then the $J_b(F)$-equivariant cohomology of $X_\mu(b)$ (for*

$\ell \neq p$) is given by

$$H_c^{2i+1}(X_\mu(b), \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0$$
$$H_c^{2i}(X_\mu(b), \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \text{c-ind}_{J_b(F)^0}^{J_b(F)} V_i$$

where V_i is a diagonalisable $J_b(F)^0$-representation with coefficients in Λ and of dimension $\#\{\tilde{\mu} \in W.\mu \mid d(\tilde{\mu}) = i\}$.

Acknowledgement. We thank Miaofen Chen and Xinwen Zhu for helpful conversations and in particular for sharing their conjecture describing the $J_b(F)$-orbits of irreducible components in terms of $V_\mu(\lambda)$.

2. Definition of λ

We associate with every σ-conjugacy class $[b]$ a not necessarily dominant coinvariant $\lambda_G(b) \in X^*(\hat{T})_\Gamma$ which lifts the Kottwitz point of b and at the same time is a 'best approximation' of the Newton point (in a sense to be made precise below). In the split case it is closely connected to the notion of σ-straight elements in the extended affine Weyl group of G.

2.1. **Invariants of σ-conjugacy classes.** By work of Kottwitz [18], a σ-conjugacy class $[b] \in B(G)$ is uniquely determined by two invariants - the Newton point $\nu_G(b) \in X_*(S)_{\mathbb{Q},\text{dom}}$ and the Kottwitz point $\kappa_G(b) \in \pi_1(G)_\Gamma$. Here $\pi_1(G)$ denotes Borovoi's fundamental group, i.e. the quotient of $X_*(T)$ by its coroot lattice. Let $w\colon X_*(T) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(G)$ denote the canonical projection.

We define a partial order $\preceq$ on $X^*(\hat{T})$ such that $\mu' \preceq \mu$ holds iff $\mu - \mu'$ is a linear combination of positive roots with non-negative, integral coefficients. Since the set of positive roots is preserved by the Galois action, this descends to a partial order on $X^*(\hat{T})_\Gamma$.

Lemma/Definition 2.1. *Let $b \in G(L)$. Then the set*

$$\{\tilde{\lambda} \in X^*(\hat{T})_\Gamma \mid w(\tilde{\lambda}) = \kappa_G(b), \tilde{\lambda}|_{\hat{S}} \preceq \nu_G(b)\}$$

has a unique maximum $\lambda_G(b)$ characterised by the property that $w(\lambda_G(b)) = \kappa_G(b)$ and that for every relative fundamental coweight $\omega_{\hat{G},F}^\vee$ of $\hat{G}$, one has

$$\langle \lambda_G(b) - \nu_G(b), \omega_{\hat{G},F}^\vee \rangle \in (-1, 0]. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Denote by $\hat{Q} \subset X^*(\hat{T})$ the root lattice. Then the restriction $X^*(\hat{T}) \twoheadrightarrow X^*(\hat{S})$ canonically identifies the relative root lattice with $\hat{Q}_\Gamma$. Note that the preimage $w^{-1}(\kappa_G(b))_\Gamma$ in $X^*(\hat{T})_\Gamma$ is a $\hat{Q}_\Gamma$-coset. Thus one has $\lambda' \succeq \lambda$ for two elements in $X^*(\hat{T})$ iff

$$\langle \lambda', \omega_{\hat{G},F}^\vee \rangle - \langle \lambda, \omega_{\hat{G},F}^\vee \rangle \geq 0$$

for all relative fundamental coweights $\omega_{\hat{G},F}^\vee$ of $\hat{G}$ and moreover the left hand side always has integral value. Thus if a $\lambda_G(b)$ as in (2.2) exists, it is the unique maximum. One easily constructs such a $\lambda_G(b)$ by choosing some $\lambda' \in w^{-1}(\kappa_G(b))_\Gamma$ and defining

$$\lambda_G(b) \coloneqq \lambda' - \sum_{\hat{\beta}} \lceil \langle \lambda' - \nu_G(b), \omega_{\hat{\beta}}^\vee \rangle \rceil \cdot \hat{\beta},$$

where the sum runs over all positive simple roots $\hat{\beta} \in \hat{Q}_\Gamma$ and $\omega_{\hat{\beta}}^\vee$ denotes the corresponding fundamental coweight. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f\colon H \to G$ be a morphism of reductive groups over $\mathcal{O}_F$. Then we have $\lambda_G(f(b)) = f(\lambda_H(b))$ in the following cases.*
(1) *f is a central isogeny.*

(2) *f is the embedding of a standard Levi subgroup, such that $\nu_H(b)$ is G-dominant.*

Proof. If f is a central isogeny, we have $X^*(\hat{T}_H) = X^*(\hat{T}_G) \times_{\pi_1(G)} \pi_1(H)$ compatibly with the obvious Galois action and partial order on the right hand side. Thus f and λ commute.

Now assume that H is a standard Levi subgroup of G and $\nu_H(b)$ is dominant, i.e. $\nu_H(b) = \nu_G(b)$. By (2.2) we have $-1 < \langle f(\lambda_H(b)) - \nu_G(b), \omega^\vee_{\hat{G},F} \rangle \leq 0$ for every relative fundamental coweight of H. Let $\omega^\vee_{\hat{G},F}$ be a relative fundamental coweight of G, but not of H. Then $\omega_{\hat{G},F}$ factorises through the center of H, thus for every quasi-character $\nu' \in X_*(\hat{T})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the value of $\langle \nu', \omega^\vee_{\hat{G},F} \rangle$ is determined by the image of ν' in $\pi_1(H)_{\Gamma,\mathbb{Q}}$. In $\pi_1(H)_{\Gamma,\mathbb{Q}}$ we have equalities

$$(\text{image of } \nu_H(b)) = (\text{image of } \kappa_H(b)) = (\text{image of } \lambda_H(b)),$$

thus $\langle \nu_H(b) - \lambda_H(b), \omega^\vee_{\hat{G},F} \rangle = 0$. □

Notation 2.4. For fixed $b \in G(L)$ we denote by $\tilde{\lambda} \in X^*(\hat{T})$ an arbitrary but fixed lift of $\lambda_G(b)$ and by λ its image in $X^*(\hat{S})$.

2.2. **A group theoretic definition of λ_G in the split case.** We denote by $\tilde{W}_G \coloneqq (\mathrm{Norm}_G(T))(L)/T(O_L)$ the extended affine Weyl group of G. Recall that we have canonical isomorphisms $\tilde{W}_G \cong X_*(T) \rtimes W \cong W_a \rtimes \Omega_G$ where W_a denotes the affine Weyl group of G and $\Omega_G \subset \tilde{W}_G$ the set of elements stabilising the base alcove, which we choose as the unique alcove in the dominant Weyl chamber whose closure contains 0. In particular, we can lift the length function ℓ on W_a to $\tilde{W}$.

The embedding $\mathrm{Norm}_G(T) \hookrightarrow G$ induces a natural map $B(\tilde{W}_G) \to B(G)$, where $B(\tilde{W}_G)$ denotes the set of $\tilde{W}_G$-σ-conjugacy classes in $\tilde{W}_G$. In general the notion of $\tilde{W}_G$-conjugacy is finer than the notion of $G(L)$-conjugacy. Hence we consider only a certain subset of $B(\tilde{W}_G)$.

Definition 2.5. *(1)* We call $x \in \tilde{W}_G$ basic if it is contained in Ω_G. A σ-conjugacy class $O \in B(\tilde{W}_G)$ is called basic if it contains a basic element.

(2) An element $x \in \tilde{W}_G$ is called σ-straight if it satisfies

$$\ell(x\sigma(x)\cdots\sigma^{n-1}(x)) = \ell(x) + \ell(\sigma(x)) + \cdots + \ell(\sigma^{n-1}(x)).$$

for any non-negative integer n. Note that the right hand side might also be written as $n \cdot \ell(x)$. A σ-conjugacy class $O \in B(\tilde{W}_G)$ is called straight if it contains a σ-straight element.

He and Nie gave a characterisation of the set of straight σ-conjugacy classes which is analogous of Kottwitz' description of $B(G)$ in [18, § 6].

Proposition 2.6 ([15, Prop. 3.2])**.** *A σ-conjugacy class $O \in B(\tilde{W}_G)$ is straight if and only if it contains a basic σ-conjugacy class $O' \in B(\tilde{W}_M)$ for some standard Levi subgroup $M \subset G$.*

Finally, by [15, Thm. 3.3] each $[b] \in B(G)$ contains a unique straight $O_{[b]} \in B(\tilde{W}_G)$.

We obtain the following description of λ_G in the split case.

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a split group over $\mathcal{O}_F$, let $b \in G(L)$ and let $x \in O_{[b]}$ be a σ-straight element. Denote by λ' its image under the canonical projection $\tilde{W} \to X_*(T)$. Then $\lambda'_{\mathrm{dom}} = \lambda_G(b)_{\mathrm{dom}}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6 there exists a standard Levi subgroup $M \subset G$ and an M-basic element $x_M \in \Omega_M$ such that x and x_M are $\tilde{W}_G$-conjugate. By [16, Prop. 4.5] any two such elements are even W-conjugate and thus correspond to the same

element in $X_*(T)_{\mathrm{dom}}$. Since the same holds true for $\lambda_G(b)_{\mathrm{dom}}$ by Lemma 2.3, it suffices to prove the proposition in the basic case, i.e. when $\nu_G(b)$ is central.

If $[b]$ is basic, then x is basic; thus λ' is the (unique) dominant minuscule character with $w(\lambda') = \kappa_G(b)$ (cf. [3, Ch. VI § 2 Prop. 6]). Hence it suffices to show that $\lambda_G(b)$ is minuscule. By Lemma 2.3 (2) we may assume that G is of adjoint type; this leaves finitely many cases, which can easily be checked using the explicit description of root systems in [3]. □

3. Equidimensionality

While it is conjectured that $X_\mu(b)$ is equidimensional (cf. [22, Conj. 5.10]), this has not yet been proven in all cases. We give a partial result after reviewing the necessary geometry of $X_\mu(b)$ first.

3.1. **Connected components of affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties.** Let $w_G\colon G(L) \to \pi_1(G)$ be the Kottwitz homomorphism, as considered in [18]. In our case it can be described using the Cartan decomposition as mapping $K\mu(\epsilon)K$ for $\mu \in X_*(T)_{\mathrm{dom}}$ to the image of μ in $\pi_1(G)$. Obviously, this induces a map $\mathcal{G}r_G(k) \to \pi_1(G)$. After base change to $\operatorname{Spec} k$, this induces isomorphisms $\pi_0(LG_k) \cong \pi_0(\mathcal{G}r_{G,k}) \cong \pi_1(G)$, compare Pappas and Rapoport [21, Thm. 0.1] in the equal characteristic case and Zhu [33, Prop. 1.21] in the mixed characteristic case. Here we used that as G is unramified, the action of the inertia subgroup of the absolute Galois group of F on $\pi_1(G)$ is trivial.

For $\omega \in \pi_1(G)$, we let LG^ω and $\mathcal{G}r_G^\omega$ be the corresponding connected components. Denote for any subgroup $H \subset LG$ and subscheme $X \subset \mathcal{G}r_G^\omega$ the intersection $H^\omega \coloneqq H \cap LG^\omega$ and $X^\omega \coloneqq X \cap \mathcal{G}r_G^\omega$.

The following general result on perfect affine flag varieties is formulated in greater generality than needed in this paper. We will only apply it in the case where $H = G$ is a reductive group scheme.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f\colon H' \to H$ be a morphism of parahoric group schemes over $\mathcal{O}_F$ such that the induced homomorphism on their adjoint groups is an isomorphism. Then the induced morphism on connected components perfect affine flag varieties*

$$f_{\mathcal{G}r}^\omega\colon \mathcal{G}r_{H'}^\omega \to \mathcal{G}r_H^{f(\omega)}$$

is a universal homeomorphism.

Proof. This is proven in [21, § 6] if $\operatorname{char} F = p$ and p does not divide the order of $\pi_1(H'_{\mathrm{der}})$ or $\pi_1(H_{\mathrm{der}})$ (see also [17, Prop. 4.3] for the statement if $\operatorname{char} F = 0$). We briefly recall the proof in [21] and explain how to generalise it.

Note that it suffices to show that $f_{\mathcal{G}r}^\omega$ is bijective on geometric points. Indeed, it is a morphism of ind-proper ind-schemes ([24, Cor. 2.3] if $\operatorname{char} F = p$, [33, § 1.5.2] if $\operatorname{char} F = 0$) and thus universally closed.

By homogeneity under the action of $H'(L)$ (resp. $H(L)$) we may assume $\omega = 0$. Denote by H_{der} the derived group of H and by $\tilde{H}$ the simply connected cover of H_{der}. Since we have a commutative diagram



it suffices to prove the theorem in the following two special cases.

Case 1: $H' = H_{\mathrm{der}}$. One can show that $f_{\mathcal{G}r}^0$ is universally bijective using the argument in [21, p. 144].

Case 2: H is semisimple and $H' = \tilde{H}$. The following argument can be found in [21, p. 140f.]. Fix an algebraically closed field $l \supset k$ and let $M \supset L$ be the corresponding field extension of ramification index 1. We denote by Z the kernel of $\tilde{H} \to H$ and let T and $\tilde{T}$ denote the Néron models of fixed maximal tori in H_F and $\tilde{H}_F$ satisfying $\tilde{T}_F = f^{-1}(T_F)$. Since $\tilde{H}_F$ is simply connected, $\tilde{T}_F$ is an induced torus, i.e. there exist finite field extensions F_i/F such that

$$\tilde{T}^0 \cong \prod_i \mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_i}/\mathcal{O}_F} \mathbb{G}_m;$$

thus there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$Z_F \subset \prod_i \mathrm{Res}_{F_i/F} \mu_n.$$

In particular, we have $Z(M) \subset \tilde{T}^0(\mathcal{O}_M)$. Since $\tilde{T}^0 \subset \tilde{H}$, $f^0_{\mathcal{G}r}$ is injective on geometric points. The surjectivity is a direct consequence of [21, Appendix, Lemma 14] □

Remark 3.2. If $\mathrm{char}\, F = p$ and p does not divide the order of $\pi_1(H'_{\mathrm{der}})$ or $\pi_1(H_{\mathrm{der}})$, it is shown in [21, § 6] that $f^\omega_{\mathcal{G}r}$ even induces an isomorphism of the underlying reduced ind-schemes. However, they show in [21, Ex. 6.4] that this is not necessarily the case when we drop the condition on p. On the other hand $f^\omega_{\mathcal{G}r}$ is always an isomorphism in the case $\mathrm{char}\, F = 0$, since universal homeomorphisms of perfect schemes are isomorphisms by [2, Lemma 3.8].

Let G^{ad} be the adjoint group of G. We denote by a subscript "ad" the image of an element of $G(L)$, $X_*(T)$ or $\pi_1(G)$ in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(L), X_*(T^{\mathrm{ad}})$ or $\pi_1(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$, respectively. By [5, Cor. 2.4.2], the homeomorphism of Proposition 3.1 induces a universal homeomorphism

$$X_\mu(b)^\omega \to X_{\mu_{\mathrm{ad}}}(b_{\mathrm{ad}})^{\omega_{\mathrm{ad}}} \tag{3.3}$$

whenever $X_\mu(b)^\omega$ is non-empty.

3.2. **Equidimensionality for some affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties.** Equidimensionality is known to hold in the following cases.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G, b, μ be as above.*

1. *If $\mathrm{char}\, F = p$, then $X_\mu(b)$ and $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$ are equidimensional. Furthermore, $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$ is the closure of $X_\mu(b)$.*
2. *Let F be an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let G be classical, μ minuscule, and either $p \neq 2$ or all simple factors of G^{ad} of type A or C. Then $X_\mu(b)$ is equidimensional.*

Proof. Assume first that $\mathrm{char}\, F = p$. In the case where G is split the assertion is proven in [14, Cor. 6.8] by identifying the formal neighbourhood of a closed point in the affine Deligne-Lusztig variety with a certain closed subscheme in the deformation space of a local G-shtuka. We briefly explain how to generalise the arguments in the proof of [14, Cor. 6.8] to arbitrary reductive group schemes over $\mathcal{O}_F$.

The main ingredient is the following result in [29], generalising [14, Thm. 6.6]. Let $x = gK \in X_{\preceq\mu}(b)(k)$ and denote $b' := gb\sigma(g)^{-1}$. Consider the deformation functor

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{D}ef_{b',0} \colon (Art/k) &\to (Sets),\\ A &\mapsto \{\tilde{b} \in (\overline{K\mu(\epsilon)K})(A) \text{ with } \tilde{b}_k = b'\}/_\cong\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{b} \cong \tilde{b}'$ if there is an $h \in G(A[\![\epsilon]\!])$ with $h_k = 1$ and $h^{-1}\tilde{b}h = \tilde{b}'$. By [29, Prop. 2.6] this functor is pro-represented by the formal completion of $K\backslash K\mu(\epsilon)K$ at b'. Moreover, the universal object has a unique algebraisation by [29, Lemma 2.8];

we denote by $D_{b',0}$ the algebraisation of $(K\backslash K\mu(\epsilon)K)^\wedge_{b'}$ and by $\tilde{b} \in LG(D_{b',0})$ a lift of the universal object. We denote by $N_{[b],0} \subset D_{b',0}$ the minimal Newton stratum, that is the set of all geometric points $\bar{s}\colon \operatorname{Spec} k_{\bar{s}} \to D_{b',0}$ such that $\tilde{b}_{\bar{s}}$ is $G(k_{\bar{s}}(\!(\epsilon)\!))$-$\sigma$-conjugate to b (or b'). Since $N_{[b],0}$ is closed, we may equip it with the structure of a reduced subscheme. By [29, Thm. 2.9, 2.11] there exists a surjective finite morphism

$$\operatorname{Spec} k[\![x_1, \dots, x_{2\langle \rho_G, \nu_G(b)\rangle}]\!] \hat{\times} X_{\preceq\mu}(b)^\wedge_x \to N_{[b],0}$$

where ρ_G denotes the half-sum of all absolute positive roots in G and $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)^\sim_x$ the algebraisation of the completion of $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$ in x. In particular, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\dim N_{[b],0} &= 2\langle \rho_G, \nu_G(b)\rangle + \dim X_{\preceq\mu}(b)^\wedge_x \\ &\leq \langle \rho_G, \mu + \nu_G(b)\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{def}_G(b).\end{aligned}$$

Here the last inequality follows from the dimension formula of $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$ in [11, Thm. 1.1] and equality holds if and only if $\dim X_{\preceq\mu}(b)^\wedge_x = \dim X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$. The Newton stratification on $D_{b',0}$ satisfies strong purity in the sense of [25, Def. 5.8]. Indeed, this is shown for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ in [28, Thm. 7] and the general case follows by [10, Prop. 2.2]. Thus the conditions of [25, Lemma 5.12] are satisfied and we get the dimension formula and closure relations of all Newton strata in $D_{b',0}$. In particular,

$$\dim N_{[b],0} = \langle \rho_G, \mu + \nu_G(b)\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{def}_G(b).$$

Thus $\dim X_{\preceq\mu}(b)^\wedge_x = \dim X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$ and since x was an arbitrary closed geometric point of $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$, this implies equidimensionality. Since $\dim X_{\preceq\mu'}(b) < \dim X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$ for every $\mu' \prec \mu$ by [11, Thm. 1.1] this also implies the equidimensionality of $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$ and that $X_\mu(b)$ is dense in $X_{\preceq\mu}(b)$.

Now consider $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $p \neq 2$ and assume first that there exists a faithful representation $\rho\colon G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$ such that the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ via $\rho(\mu)$ has weights 0 and 1. Then we can associate a Rapoport-Zink space of Hodge-type $\mathscr{M}_{G,\mu}(b)$ to the triple (G, μ, b), whose perfection equals $X_\mu(b)$ by [33, Thm. 3.10]. Since $\mathscr{M}_{G,\mu}(b)$ is equidimensional by [10, Thm. 1.3], so is $X_\mu(b)$.

Now the morphism $X_\mu(b) \to X_{\mu_{\mathrm{ad}}}(b_{\mathrm{ad}})$ induced by the the canonical projection $G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is an isomorphism on connected components by (3.3). Thus all connected components of $X_{\mu_{\mathrm{ad}}}(b_{\mathrm{ad}})$ which are contained in the image of $X_\mu(b)$ are equidimensional. Since all connected components are isomorphic to each other by [5, Thm. 1.2], this implies that $X_{\mu_{\mathrm{ad}}}(b_{\mathrm{ad}})$ is equidimensional. Thus any affine Deligne-Lusztig variety with G classical, adjoint and μ minuscule is equidimensional. Applying (3.3) once more, the claim follows for $p \neq 2$. If $p = 2$, the spaces $\mathscr{M}_{G,\mu}(b)$ are only defined if (G, μ, b) is of PEL-type, but in this case the rest of the proof is identical.

If F is an unramified field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, let $G' = \operatorname{Res}_{O_F/\mathbb{Z}_p} G$ and $\mu' = (\mu, 0, \dots, 0), b' = (b, 1, \dots 1)$ w.r.t. the identification $G'_L \cong \prod_{F\hookrightarrow L} G$. By [33, Lemma 3.6] and the Cartesian diagram below it, we have $X_{\mu'}(b') \cong X_\mu(b)$; thus $X_\mu(b)$ is equidimensional. □

4. Irreducible components in the superbasic case

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5 for superbasic σ-conjugacy classes. In [11, § 8] this has been reduced to a purely combinatorial statement, which we prove using the bijectivity of sweep maps on rational Dyck paths.

4.1. **Superbasic σ-conjugacy classes.** An element $b \in G(L)$ or the corresponding σ-conjugacy class $[b] \in B(G)$ is called superbasic if no element of $[b]$ is contained in a proper Levi subgroup of G defined over F.

Remark 4.1 ([5, § 3.1]). (1) If b is superbasic in $G(L)$ then the simple factors of the adjoint group G^{ad} are of the form $\mathrm{Res}_{F_d|F} \mathrm{PGL}_n$ for unramified extensions F_d of F (of degree d) and $n \geq 2$. In particular, $X_\mu(b)$ is equidimensional if $\mathrm{char}\, F = p$ or F is an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

(2) For every $[b] \in B(G)$ there is a standard parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ defined over F and with the following property. Let T be a fixed maximal torus of G, and M the Levi factor of P containing T. Then there is a $b \in [b] \cap M(L)$ which is superbasic in M.

We first consider the special case where $[b]$ is superbasic and where G is of the form $\mathrm{Res}_{F_d|F} \mathrm{GL}_n$ for some d, n. In this case we give a proof using EL-charts as in [11] (see also [6] for the split case). We then reduce the general superbasic case to this particular case.

For G as above $L \otimes_F F_d \cong \prod_{\tau\colon F_d \hookrightarrow L} L$ yields an identification

$$G(L) = \prod_{\tau \in I} \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$$

mapping $g \in G(L)$ to a tuple $(g_\tau)_{\tau \in I}$ where $I \coloneqq \mathrm{Gal}(F_d, F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Let $S \subset T \subset B \subset G$ be the split diagonal torus, the diagonal torus and the upper triangular Borel, respectively. We have a canonical identification $X_*(T) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{|I|}$. Then the dominant elements in $X_*(T)$ are precisely the $\mu = (\mu_\tau)_{\tau \in I} \in X_*(T)$ such that the components of μ_τ are weakly decreasing for each τ.

We identify $X_*(S)$ with the invariants $X_*(T)^I = \mathbb{Z}^n$, thus

$$\mu|_{\hat{S}} = \sum_{\tau \in I} \mu_\tau.$$

Moreover, we extend the Bruhat order on $X_*(S)_{\mathrm{dom}}$ to $X_*(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by writing $\nu \leq \nu'$ if $\nu' - \nu$ is a non-negative rational linear combination of positive coroots. Note that the restriction of $\leq$ to $X_*(S)_{\mathrm{dom}}$ is nothing but the partial order $\preceq$. For our particular group, the abstract definition of $\nu \leq \nu'$ is equivalent to Mazur's inequality; in other words it is the dominance order on $\mathbb{Q}^n$.

4.2. **A combinatorial identity.** An important tool when considering the combinatorics of EL-charts is the sweep map defined by Armstrong, Loehr and Warrington in [1]. We need a multiple component version of it, which turns out to be easily realised as a special case of the classical sweep map.

Notation 4.2. By a word $\mathbf{w}$ we mean a finite sequence of integers $w_1 \cdots w_r$. For $1 \leq k \leq r$ we define the level of $\mathbf{w}$ at k by $l(\mathbf{w})_k \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i$. We consider the following sets for fixed sequences of integers $a_{\tau,0}, \ldots, a_{\tau,n-1}$ where $1 \leq \tau \leq d$.

(1) Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(d)}$ denote the set of of words $\mathbf{w} = w_1 \ldots w_{d \cdot n}$ such that the sub-word $\mathbf{w}_{(\tau)} \coloneqq w_\tau w_{\tau+d} \cdots w_{\tau+(n-1)\cdot d}$ is a rearrangement of $a_{\tau,1}, \ldots, a_{\tau,n}$ for any τ.

(2) Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{N}}^{(d)} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(d)}$ the subset of words whose level at multiples of d is non-negative. Following [31] and [1], we call its elements (d-component) Dyck words.

To conform with the classical notation, we omit the superscript (d) when $d = 1$.

Definition 4.3. The sweep map $sw^{(d)}\colon \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(d)} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(d)}$ is the map that sorts $\mathbf{w}$ according to its level as follows. Initialise $sw^{(d)}(\mathbf{w})_\tau = \emptyset$ for any $1 \leq \tau \leq d$. For

each a down from -1 to $-\infty$ and then down from ∞ to 0 read $\mathbf{w}_\tau$ from right to left and append to $sw^{(d)}(\mathbf{w})_\tau$ all letters w_k such that $l(\mathbf{w})_k = a$.

We deduce the bijectivity of $sw^{(d)}$ from Williams' result for the classical sweep map in [31].

Proposition 4.4. *$sw^{(d)}$ is bijective and preserves $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}_{\mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. We fix an integer N big enough such that for any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{A}^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $1 \leq \tau < d$ as above the following inequalities hold.

$$\min\{l(\mathbf{w})_k + N \mid k \equiv \tau \pmod d\} > \max\{l(\mathbf{w})_k \mid k \equiv \tau - 1 \pmod d\} \tag{4.5}$$

$$\min\{l(\mathbf{w})_k + \tau \cdot N \mid k \equiv \tau \pmod d\} \geq 0. \tag{4.6}$$

For given $\mathbf{w}$, let $\mathbf{w}^{+N}$ be the word which one obtains by replacing the $a_{\tau,i}$ by

$$a'_{\tau+i\cdot d} = \begin{cases} a_{\tau,i} + N & \text{if } \tau \neq d \\ a_{\tau,i} - N \cdot (d-1) & \text{if } \tau = d. \end{cases}$$

Note that for any k we have $l(\mathbf{w}^{+N})_k = l(\mathbf{w})_k + \tau \cdot N$ where τ denotes the residue of k modulo d. Thus the map $\mathbf{w} \mapsto \mathbf{w}^{+N}$ defines an embedding $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ into the set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for the sequence $\{a'_0, \ldots, a'_{n\cdot d-1}\}$ preserving Dyck words as the levels of $\mathbf{w}^{+N}$ are non-negative by (4.6). By (4.5), we have $\min_i l(\mathbf{w}^{+N})_{\tau+i\cdot d} > \max_i l(\mathbf{w}^{+N})_{\varsigma+i\cdot d}$ for all $0 \leq \tau < \varsigma < d$. Thus the permutation of letters of $\mathbf{w}^{+N}$ induced by the classical sweep map decomposes into a product of permutations of the subsets $\{\tau, \tau + d, \tau + 2d, \ldots\}$. Since moreover $l(\mathbf{w}^{+N})_{\tau+i\cdot d} \geq l(\mathbf{w}^{+N})_{\tau+j\cdot d}$ iff $l(\mathbf{w})_{\tau+i\cdot d} \geq l(\mathbf{w})_{\tau+j\cdot d}$, the permutations induced by the classical sweep map sw applied to $\mathbf{w}^{+N}$ and $sw^{(d)}$ applied to $\mathbf{w}$ coincide; in other words the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle sw^{(d)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle sw} \\ \mathcal{A}^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} \end{array}$$

commutes. As sw is a bijection preserving Dyck words by [31, Thm. 6.1, 6.3], the claim follows. □

4.3. **Characterisation of EL-charts.** Throughout the section, we fix a positive integer m coprime to n and denote $\nu_{|_{\hat{S}}} = (\frac{m}{n}, \ldots, \frac{m}{n}) \in X_*(T)^I$. We recall the notion of EL-charts as they were presented in [11, § 5].

Let $\mathbb{Z}^{(d)} \coloneqq \coprod_{\tau\in I} \mathbb{Z}_{(\tau)}$ be the disjoint union of d copies of $\mathbb{Z}$. We impose the notation that for any subset $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^{(d)}$ we write $A_{(\tau)} \coloneqq A \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(\tau)}$. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by $x_{(\tau)}$ the corresponding element of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\tau)}$ and write $|a_{(\tau)}| \coloneqq a$. We equip $\mathbb{Z}^{(d)}$ with a partial order "$\leq$" defined by

$$x_{(\tau)} \leq y_{(\varsigma)} \colon \Leftrightarrow \tau = \varsigma \text{ and } x \leq y$$

and a $\mathbb{Z}$-action given by

$$x_{(\tau)} + z \coloneqq (x + z)_{(\tau)}.$$

Furthermore we consider a $\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant function $f \colon \mathbb{Z}^{(d)} \to \mathbb{Z}^{(d)}$ with

$$f(a_{(\tau)}) = a_{(\tau+1)} + m_\tau$$

with $m_0 + \ldots + m_{d-1} = m$. In particular, $f(\mathbb{Z}_{(\tau)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(\tau+1)}$ and $f^d(a) = a + m$.

Definition 4.7. *(1)* An EL-chart is a non-empty subset $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^{(d)}$ which bounded from below and satisfies $f(A) \subset A$ and $A + n \subset A$.

(2) Two EL-charts A, A' are called equivalent, if there exists an integer z such that $A + z = A'$. We write $A \sim A'$.

Let A be an EL-chart and $B = A \setminus (A + n)$. It is easy to see that $\#B_{(\tau)} = n$ for all $\tau \in I$. We define a sequence $b_0, \dots, b_{d \cdot n}$ as follows. Let $b_0 = b_{n \cdot d} = \min B_{(0)}$ and for given b_i let $b_{i+1} \in B$ be the unique element of the form

$$b_{i+1} = f(b_i) - \mu'_{i+1} \cdot n$$

for a non-negative integer μ'_i. These elements are indeed distinct: If $b_i = b_j$ then obviously $i \equiv j \pmod{d}$ and then $b_{i+k \cdot d} \equiv b_i + k \cdot m \pmod{n}$ implies that $i = j$ as m and n are coprime.

It will later be helpful to distinguish the b_is and μ'_i of different components. For this we change the index set to $I \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\tau,i} &\coloneqq b_{\tau+(i-1)\cdot d} \\ \mu'_{\tau,i} &\coloneqq \mu'_{\tau+(i-1)\cdot d}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we choose the set of representatives $\{1, \dots, d\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ of I.

Definition 4.8. With the notation above, μ' is called the type of A.

Remark 4.9. This definition differs slightly from the definition of the type in [11, p. 12822]; in this article we chose the indices such that $\mu'_{\tau,i}$ measures the difference between between $b_{\tau,i}$ and $b_{\tau-1,i}$ while in [11] it yields the difference between $b_{\tau,i}$ and $b_{\tau+1,i}$. Since one can alternate between those two notions by replacing f by $\bar{f} \coloneqq f^{-1}$ and μ by $(-\mu)_{\mathrm{dom}}$, we can still use the combinatorial results of [11]. Moreover, we chose the Borel of *upper* triangular matrices instead of lower triangular matrices in loc. cit.; thus inverting the order on $X_*(S)$ and $X_*(T)$.

The type characterises an EL-chart up to equivalence.

Lemma 4.10 ([11, Lemma 5.3])**.** *Let*

$$P_{m,n,d} \coloneqq \{\mu' \in \left(\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}\right)^{|I|} \mid {\mu'}|_{\hat{S}} \leq \nu|_{\hat{S}}\}.$$

Then the type of any EL-chart A lies in $P_{m,n,d}$ and the type defines a bijection

$$\{ \text{ EL-charts } \}/_{\sim} \leftrightarrow P_{m,n,d}.$$

Example 4.11. There are two important special cases of EL-charts.

(a) An EL-chart is called small if $A + n \subset f(A)$, in other words if its type only has entries 0 and 1. They correspond to the affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties with minuscule Hodge point.
(b) A semi-module is an EL-chart $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$, which are the invariants that occur in the split case.

There is a bijection between small semi-modules up to equivalence and (rational) Dyck paths from $(0, 0)$ to $(n - m, m)$ (see [8] for more details). This gives a purely combinatorial motivation for the definitions below. With a given equivalence class $[A]$ of small semi-modules, we associate the path which goes east at the i-th step if $\mathrm{type}(A)_i = 0$ and north if $\mathrm{type}(A)_i = 1$. By the above lemma this map is well-defined and a bijection. Moreover, if we choose $\min A = 0$, then A is the set of $(-m, n - m)$-levels in the sense of [1] of points on or above the path.

There is another invariant of EL-charts which is more important for the application of this theory, as it allows us to calculate the dimension of strata inside the affine Deligne-Lusztig variety.



FIGURE 1. The associated Dyck path and levels for $m = 5$, $n = 7$ and $A = \mathbb{N}_0$

Definition 4.12. Let A be a EL-chart of type μ' and let $b_{\tau,i}$ be defined as above. For each $\tau \in I$ let $\tilde{b}_{\tau,1} > \ldots > \tilde{b}_{\tau,n}$ be the elements of $B_{(\tau)}$ arranged in decreasing order. Define

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\tau,i} = \mu'_{\tau',i'} \text{ where } \tilde{b}_{\tau,i} = b_{\tau',i'}.$$

We call $\tilde{\mu}$ the cotype of A.

It is shown in [11, p. 12831] that $\mathrm{cotype}(A) \in P_{m,n,d}$. Since the cotype is obviously invariant under equivalence, we obtain a map

$$\zeta \colon P_{m,n,d} \to P_{m,n,d}, \mathrm{type}(A) \mapsto \mathrm{cotype}(A).$$

We claim that ζ is bijective. For this we note that ζ is the concatenation of

$$\mu' \mapsto (w_i \coloneqq m_{k \bmod d} - \mu'_k \cdot n)_{k=1,\ldots,n\cdot d} \overset{sw^{(d)}}{\mapsto} (\tilde{w}_k) \mapsto (\frac{m_\tau - \tilde{w}_{\tau+i\cdot d}}{n})_{\tau,i}. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus its bijectivity follows from Proposition 4.4.

Example 4.14. For $d = 1, n = 7, m = 5$ and $A = \mathbb{N}_0$, we can describe (4.13) as follows. In Figure 1 one sees that $\mu' \coloneqq \mathrm{type}(A) = (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1)$. This is mapped to the word $\mathbf{w} = (5, -2, -2, 5, -2, -2, -2)$, whose levels $l(\mathbf{w}) = (5, 3, 1, 6, 4, 2, 0)$ are the corresponding elements of $B \coloneqq A \backslash (A+n)$. Thus applying the sweep map, which sorts the letters of $\mathbf{w}$ according to their level, is nothing else than permuting the letters such that the corresponding elements of B get arranged in decreasing order. Now $sw(\mathbf{w}) = (5, 5, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2)$, which yields $\zeta(\mu') = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$.

Altogether, we obtain the following theorem, which generalises the result of [31, Cor. 6.4]. It was conjectured in [11, Conj. 8.3] and in the split case by de Jong and Oort in [6, Rem. 6.16].

Theorem 4.15. *The cotype induces a bijection*

$$\{ \text{ EL-charts } \}_{/\sim} \leftrightarrow P_{m,n,d}.$$

4.4. **The superbasic case.** Proposition 1.7 is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.15 together with the relation between orbits of irreducible components and EL-charts in [11, § 8]. We briefly recall this relation for the reader's convenience before proving Prop. 1.7.

When applying the results of the previous subsection to affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties, we consider EL-charts satisfying certain additional criteria.

Definition 4.16. Let A be an EL-chart.
(1) A is called normalised if $\sum_{b \in B_{(0)}} |b| = \binom{n}{2}$ where $B_{(0)} = A_{(0)} \setminus (A_{(0)} + n)$.
(2) The Hodge point of A is defined as $\mathrm{type}(A)_{\mathrm{dom}}$.

Note that every EL-chart is equivalent to a unique normalised EL-chart. Let $P_\mu \coloneqq \{\mu' \in P_{m,n,d} \mid \mu'_{\mathrm{dom}} = \mu\}$. Then by Lemma 4.10 $A \mapsto \mathrm{type}(A)$ induces a bijection

$$\{\text{normalized EL-charts with Hodge point } \mu\} \leftrightarrow P_\mu.$$

It is easy to see that ζ stabilises P_μ. Thus Theorem 4.15 says that $A \mapsto \mathrm{cotype}(A)$ induces a bijection between the set of normalised EL-charts with Hodge point μ and P_μ.

For every minuscule $\mu \in X_*(T)_{\mathrm{dom}}$ there exists a unique basic σ-conjugacy class in $B(G,\mu)$. We choose a representative of this σ-conjugacy class as follows. Let $m_\tau = \mathrm{val}\det\mu(\epsilon)$ and choose $b = ((b_{\tau,i,j})_{i,j})_\tau$ with

$$b_{\tau,i,j} = \begin{cases} \epsilon^{\lfloor \frac{j+m_\tau}{n} \rfloor} & \text{if } j - i \equiv m_\tau \pmod n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\nu = (\frac{m}{d\cdot n}, \dots, \frac{m}{d\cdot n})$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with $\lambda_i = \lfloor \frac{i\cdot m}{n} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{(i-1)\cdot m}{n} \rfloor$. The requirement that b is in fact superbasic corresponds to the assertion that m and n are coprime.

By our choice of b, the variety $X_\mu(b)^0$ is non-empty. In [11],[12] we constructed a $J_b(F)^0$-invariant cellular decomposition

$$X_\mu(b)^0 = \bigcup_A S_A$$

where the union runs over all normalised EL-charts with Hodge-point μ. We denote

$$V_A \coloneqq \{(i,j) \mid b_i < b_j; \mu'_i = \mu'_j + 1\}.$$

In [11, Prop. 6.5], [12, Prop. 13.9] we show that $\mathbb{A}^{V_A} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_A$ by constructing an element $g_A \in LG(\mathbb{A}^{V_A})$, respectively a basis $(v_{\tau,i})$ of the universal G-lattice over S_A in the terminology of above articles, such that S_A is its image in the affine Grassmannian. In particular $\dim S_A = \#V_A$.

Following the calculations of the term S_1 in [11, p. 12831], one obtains $\#V_A$ from $\tilde{\mu}$ using the formula

$$\#V_A = \sum \lfloor \langle \tilde{\mu}|_{\hat{S}} - \mu_{\mathrm{adom}}, \hat{\omega}_F^\vee \rangle \rfloor,$$

where the sum runs over all relative fundamental coweights $\hat{\omega}_F$ of $\hat{G}$ and μ_{adom} denotes the anti-dominant element in the W-orbit of μ. In particular, S_A is top-dimensional if and only if $\mathrm{cotype}(A)|_{\hat{S}} = \lambda$.

Proof of Proposition 1.7. Let G be arbitrary. We assume wlog that $b \in K\mu(\epsilon)K$, thus $X_\mu(b)^0 \neq \emptyset$. Since $J_b(F)$ acts transitively on $\pi_0(X_\mu(b))$ by [5, Thm. 1.2], it suffices to construct $C^0_{\tilde{\mu}} \coloneqq C_{\tilde{\mu}} \cap X_\mu(b)^0$, which have to be $J_b(F)^0$-stable and universally homeomorphic to affine spaces of the correct dimension. In particular, we may take $C^0_{\mathrm{cotype}(A)} = S_A$ if $G = \mathrm{Res}_{F_d/F}\,\mathrm{GL}_n$.

By Remark 4.1 we have $G^{\mathrm{ad}} \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Res}_{F_{d_i}/F}\,\mathrm{PGL}_{n_i}$. Let $G' = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Res}_{F_{d_i}/F}\,\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}$ and b', μ' be lifts of b_{ad} and μ_{ad} to G', such that $X_{\mu'}(b')^0 \neq \emptyset$. We identify the underlying topological spaces $X_\mu(b)^0 = X_{\mu_{\mathrm{ad}}}(b_{\mathrm{ad}})^0 = X_{\mu'}(b')^0$ via the homeomorphism (3.3). Thus we get a cellular decomposition of $X_\mu(b)^0$ per transport of structure from $X_{\mu'}(b')^0$. Since it is $J_{b'}(F)^0$-stable, we consider the canonical projections $J_b(F)^0 \xrightarrow{p} J_{b_{\mathrm{ad}}}(F)^0 \xleftarrow{q} J_{b'}(F)^0$. It suffices to show that q is surjective (implying that the decomposition is $J_{b_{\mathrm{ad}}}(F)^0$-stable) and that the $J_b(F)^0$-action factors through $J_{b_{\mathrm{ad}}}(F)^0$.

To prove the surjectivity, let $j \in J_{b_{\mathrm{ad}}}(F)^0$ and choose a preimage $g \in G(L)^0$ of j. The element g satisfies $g^{-1}b\sigma(g) = zb$ for some $z \in Z'(L) \cap G(L)^0 = Z(\mathcal{O}_L)$,

where Z' denotes the center of G'. We choose $z' \in Z'(\mathcal{O}_L)$ with $(z')^{-1}\sigma(z') = z^{-1}$. Then $gz' \in J_{b'}(F)^0$ maps to j, as claimed.

Now an elementary calculation of the kernel shows that we have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow Z(\mathcal{O}_F) \longrightarrow J_b(F)^0 \longrightarrow J_{b_{\mathrm{ad}}}(F)^0,$$

where Z denotes the center of G. Since $Z(\mathcal{O}_F)$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{G}r_G$, the $J_b(F)^0$-action factors through $J_{b_{\mathrm{ad}}}(F)^0$, as claimed. □

Corollary 4.17. *Conjecture 1.4 is true if b is superbasic and μ minuscule.*

Proof. We have

$$J_b(F)\backslash\Sigma(X_\mu(b)) \cong \{\tilde{\mu} \in P_\mu \mid C_{\tilde{\mu}} \text{ top-dimensional}\} \cong \{\tilde{\mu} \in W.\mu \mid \tilde{\mu}|_{\hat{S}} = \lambda\}.$$

□

5. Reduction to the superbasic case

In this section we consider the general case of Theorem 1.5, i.e. G is an unramified reductive group over F, μ is minuscule, and b is an arbitrary element of $G(L)$. The goal is to use a reduction method, first introduced in [9], to relate to the superbasic case.

Let $P \subset G$ be a smallest standard parabolic subgroup of G, defined over F and with the following property. Let M be the Levi factor of P containing T. Then we want that $M(L)$ contains a σ-conjugate of b which is superbasic in M. Fix a representative $b \in M(L)$ of $[b]_G = [b]$. Then we furthermore want that the M-dominant Newton point of b is already G-dominant. For existence of such P, M, b compare Remark 4.1. We write $P = M \cdot N$ where N denotes the unipotent radical of P. Since $b \in M(L)$, this induces a decomposition

$$J_b(F) \cap P(L) = (J_b(F) \cap M(L)) \cdot (J_b(F) \cap N(L)).$$

Throughout the section, we may refer to subschemes of the loop group or Grassmannian by their k-valued points to improve readability, e.g. write K instead of L^+G or $N(L)$ instead of LN. We denote $K_M = M(\mathcal{O}_L)$, $K_N = N(\mathcal{O}_L)$ and $K_P = P(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

We consider the variety

$$X_\mu^{M\subset G}(b) = \{gK_M \in \mathcal{G}r_M \mid g^{-1}b\sigma(g) \in K\mu K\}.$$

Then we have $X_\mu^{M\subset G}(b) = \coprod_{\mu' \in I_{\mu,b}} X_{\mu'}^M(b)$ where $I_{\mu,b}$ is the set of M-conjugacy classes of cocharacters μ' in the G-conjugacy class of μ with $[b]_M \in B(M, \mu')$. As $[b]_M$ is basic in M, this latter condition is equivalent to $\kappa_M(b) = \kappa_M(\mu')$ in $\pi_1(M)_\Gamma$. We identify an element of $I_{\mu,b}$ with its M-dominant representative in $X_*(T)$. Note that $I_{\mu,b}$ is non-empty and finite, but may have more than one element if G is not split.

Notation 5.1. Note that $X_\mu^{M\subset G}(b)$ is in general not equidimensional, although the individual summands are conjectured to be. We define

$$\Sigma'(X_\mu^{M\subset G}(b)) \coloneqq \bigcup_{\mu' \in I_{\mu,b}} \Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_{\mu'}^M(b)).$$

Using Corollary 4.17 we can show that $X^{M\subset G}(b)$ has the same number of orbits of irreducible components as given by the right hand side of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 5.2. $(J_b(F) \cap M(L))\backslash\Sigma'(X_\mu^{M\subset G}(b)) = W.\mu \cap [\tilde{\lambda} + (1-\sigma)X_*(T)]$

Proof. By Corollary 4.17 we have

$$(J_b(F)\cap M(L))\backslash \bigcup_{\mu'\in I_{\mu,b}} \Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X^M_{\mu'}(b)) = \bigcup_{\mu'\in I_{\mu,b}} \big(W_M.\mu'\cap[\tilde\lambda_M+(1-\sigma)X_*(T)]\big).$$

Here the unions on both sides are disjoint, and $\tilde\lambda_M=\tilde\lambda_M(b)$ denotes the element associated with $[b]\in B(M)$ whereas $\tilde\lambda=\tilde\lambda_G(b)$. By Lemma 2.3 this is equal to $\bigcup_{\mu'\in I_{\mu,b}}\big(W_M.\mu'\cap[\tilde\lambda+(1-\sigma)X_*(T)]\big)$. As $\tilde\lambda$ is minuscule, the set $W_M.\mu'\cap[\tilde\lambda+(1-\sigma)X_*(T)]$ is nonempty for a given $\mu'\in W.\mu$ if and only if $\kappa_M(\mu')=\kappa_M(\tilde\lambda)(=\kappa_M(b))$, i.e. iff $\mu'\in I_{\mu,b}$. Hence $\bigcup_{\mu'\in I_{\mu,b}}\big(W_M.\mu'\cap[\tilde\lambda+(1-\sigma)X_*(T)]\big)=W.\mu\cap[\tilde\lambda+(1-\sigma)X_*(T)]$. □

In order to relate the irreducible components of $X^{M\subset G}_\mu$ to those of $X_\mu(b)$, we consider the variety

$$X^{P\subset G}_\mu := \{gK_P\in\mathcal{G}r_P \mid g^{-1}b\sigma(g)\in K\mu(\epsilon)K\}$$

as intermediate object. The inclusion $P\hookrightarrow G$ induces a natural map $X^{P\subset G}_\mu(b)\to X_\mu(b)$. Using the Iwasawa decomposition $G(L)=P(L)K$ we see that this map is surjective, and in fact $X^{P\subset G}_\mu(b)$ is nothing but a decomposition of $X^G_\mu(b)$ into locally closed subsets (see e.g. [11, Lemma 2.2]). Thus we obtain a natural bijection

$$\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X^{P\subset G}_\mu(b))\to\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X^G_\mu(b))$$

which induces a surjection

$$\alpha_\Sigma\colon (J_b(F)\cap P(L))\backslash\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X^{P\subset G}_\mu(b))\twoheadrightarrow J_b(F)\backslash\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X^G_\mu(b)). \tag{5.3}$$

Furthermore, $\dim X^{P\subset G}_\mu(b)=\dim X^G_\mu(b)$.

On the other hand, the restriction of the canonical projection $\mathcal{G}r_P\twoheadrightarrow\mathcal{G}r_M$ induces a surjective morphism

$$\beta\colon X^{P\subset G}_\mu(b)\to X^{M\subset G}_\mu(b)$$

by [11, Prop. 2.9]. Moreover the fibre dimension for $x\in X^M_{\mu'}(b)$ is given by

$$\dim\beta^{-1}(x)=\dim X^{P\subset G}_\mu(b)-\dim X^M_{\mu'}(b), \tag{5.4}$$

see [11, Lemma 2.8, Prop. 2.9 (2)], using that for minuscule μ, equality in Lemma 2.8 of loc. cit. always holds, and using the dimension formula [11, Thm. 1.1]. Note that this only depends on μ' (but indeed depends on the choice of $\mu'\in I_{\mu,b}$), but not on the point x.

Lemma 5.5. *β induces a well-defined surjective map*

$$\beta_\Sigma\colon\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X^{P\subset G}_\mu(b))\to\Sigma'(X^{M\subset G}_\mu(b)).$$

It is $J_b(F)\cap P(L)$-equivariant for the natural action on the left hand side, and the action through the natural projection $J_b(F)\cap P(L)\twoheadrightarrow J_b(F)\cap M(L)$ on the right hand side.

Recall that a subset of $G(L)$ is called bounded if it is contained in a finite union of K-double cosets.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a top-dimensional irreducible component of $X^{P\subset G}_\mu(b)$. Then $\beta(\mathcal{C})$ is irreducible and thus contained in one of the open and closed subschemes $X^M_{\mu'}(b)$. By (5.4), its dimension is equal to $\dim(X^M_{\mu'}(b))$, hence $\beta(\mathcal{C})$ is a dense subscheme of one of the irreducible components of $X^M_{\mu'}(b)$. In this way we obtain the claimed map β_Σ. It is surjective and $J_b(F)\cap P(L)$-equivariant because the same holds for β. □

Proposition 5.6. *Let $Z \subset X_\mu^{M\subset G}(b)$ be an irreducible subscheme. Then $J_b(F) \cap N(L)$ acts transitively on $\Sigma(\beta^{-1}(Z))$.*

In the proof we need the following remark.

Remark 5.7. For $x \in \widetilde{W}$ let IxI be the locally closed subscheme of LG whose k-valued points are $I(k)xI(k)$. Let S be a scheme and $g \in (IxI)(S)$. Then we claim that there are elements $i_1, i_2 \in I(S)$ with $g = i_1 x i_2$. In equal characteristic, this is [14, Lemma 2.4] (the proof in loc. cit. shows the above statement, although the Lemma only claims the assertion étale locally on S). Let us explain how to modify the proof to deduce the above statement in general: We consider the morphism $I/(I \cap xIx^{-1}) \to LG/I$ to the affine flag variety given by $g \mapsto gx$. By writing down the obvious inverse one sees that it is an immersion with image IxI/I.

Let $g \in (IxI)(S)$ and $\overline{g}$ its image in the affine flag variety. Then the above shows that $\overline{g}$ is the image of some $\overline{i} \in I/(I \cap xIx^{-1})(S)$. Note that $I/(I \cap xIx^{-1}) = I_0/(I_0 \cap xI_0x^{-1})$ where I_0 is the unipotent radical of I. By [14, Lemma 2.1] we can thus lift $\overline{i} \in I_0/(I_0 \cap xI_0x^{-1})(S)$ to an element $i_1 \in I_0(S)$ which is as claimed.

Proof of Proposition 5.6. As we have to take an inverse image of an element under σ later in this proof, we replace all occuring ind-schemes by their perfections. Note that this does not change the underlying topological spaces of the schemes. Moreover, since we may check the assertion on an open covering of Z, we may replace Z by an open subscheme $S \subset Z$ containing one fixed but arbitrary point $z \in Z(k)$.

Étale locally there is a lifting of the inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow X_{\mu'}^M(b)$ to LM ([21, Lemma 1.4], the proof also works for $\operatorname{char} F = 0$, cf. [33, Prop. 1.20]). Thus there exists $T \to Z$ étale with $z \in \operatorname{im}(T \to Z)$ such that there exists a lift $\iota : T \to LM$. By replacing T by an irreducible component if necessary, we may assume that T is again irreducible. We denote by S the image of T in Z, and by $y \in T$ a point mapping to z.

We denote

$$\Phi = \{(m, n) \in \iota(T) \times N(L) \mid mnK_P \in X_\mu^{P\subset G}(b)\}$$

and $b_m \coloneqq m^{-1}b\sigma(m)$ for any $m \in M(L)$. For $g = mn \in P(L)$ we have

$$g^{-1}b\sigma(g) = b_m \cdot [b_m^{-1}n^{-1}b_m\sigma(n)] \tag{5.8}$$

where the bracket is in $N(L)$ and where $b_m \in M(L)$. The condition $gK_P \in \beta^{-1}(S)$ is then equivalent to the condition that we may choose $m \cdot n \in gK_P$ with $m \in \iota(T) \subset LM$ and $n \in N(L)$ such that the last bracket is in $N(L) \cap b_m^{-1}K\mu(\epsilon)K$. Thus we have a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\colon \Phi &\to \mathcal{E} \coloneqq \{(m, c) \mid m \in \iota(T), c \in N(L) \cap b_m^{-1}K\mu(\epsilon)K\} \\ (m, n) &\mapsto (m, b_m^{-1}n^{-1}b_m\sigma(n)). \end{aligned}$$

In order to get an easier description of $\mathcal{E}$, we show that one can assume $b_m \in K_M \cdot \mu'$ after further shrinking S and replacing ι if necessary. Let $x \in \widetilde{W}$ such that $I_M x I_M \subset K_M\mu'(\epsilon)K_M$ is the open cell, where I_M denotes the standard Iwahori subgroup of M. Then $K\mu(\epsilon)K = KxK$, and we fix $k_0, k_0' \in K$ such that $b_{\iota(y)} = k_0 x k_0'$. We replace T (and thus S) by the open neighborhood of y such that $b_m \in k_0 \cdot I_M x I_M \cdot k_0'$ for all $m \in \iota(T)$. By Remark 5.7 we have a global decomposition $b_m = k_0 i_1 x i_2 k_0'$ with $i_j \in I_M(\iota(T))$. As $S \subseteq X_{\mu'}^M(b)$ we have $x = w_1\mu' w_2 \in W_M\mu W_M$, thus $b_m = k_0 i_1 w_1 \mu'(\epsilon) w_2 i_2 k_0'$. We now replace m by $m\sigma^{-1}(w_2 i_2 k_0')^{-1} \in mK_M$ and modify ι accordingly. With respect to this new choice we obtain a decomposition

of b_m of the form $k_1\mu'(\epsilon)$ with $k_1 = \sigma^{-1}(w_2 i_2 k_0')k_0 i_1 w_1 \in L^+M(\iota(T))$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} N(L) \cap b_m^{-1} K\mu(\epsilon)K &= N(L) \cap \mu'(\epsilon)^{-1} K\mu(\epsilon)K \\ &= \mu'(\epsilon)^{-1}(N(L) \cdot \mu'(\epsilon) \cap K\mu(\epsilon)K). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this only depends on the constant element μ'. Hence

$$\mathcal{E} = \iota(T) \times (N(L) \cap \mu'(\epsilon)^{-1} K\mu(\epsilon)K).$$

Claim 1. $\mathcal{E}$ is irreducible.

As $\iota(T)$ is irreducible, we have to show that $N(L) \cap \mu'(\epsilon)^{-1} K\mu(\epsilon)K$ is irreducible. For this we consider the morphism $\mathrm{pr}_{\mu'} \colon N(L) \to N(L)\mu'(\epsilon)K \subset \mathcal{G}r_G, n \mapsto \mu'(\epsilon)n$. Then $N(L) \cap \mu'(\epsilon)^{-1} K\mu(\epsilon)K$ is the preimage of $N(L)\mu'(\epsilon)K \cap K\mu(\epsilon)K$, which is irreducible by [20, Cor. 13.2]. On the other hand $\mathrm{pr}_{\mu'}$ is a K_N-torsor, since it is surjective and factorises as

$$N(L) \to \mathcal{G}r_N \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}r_G \overset{\mu'(\epsilon)\cdot}{\to} \mathcal{G}r_G.$$

Here the first map is the projection, a K_N-torsor. The second is the natural closed embedding, and the third the isomorphism obtained by left multiplication by $\mu'(\epsilon)$. As K_N is also irreducible, this completes the proof of Claim 1.

Claim 2. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Phi$ be a non-empty open subscheme with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}K_N$ where K_N acts by right multiplication on the second component. Then its image under γ contains an open subscheme of $\mathcal{E}$; in particular it is dense by Claim 1.

Fix an irreducible component C of Φ such that its intersection with $\mathcal{F}$ is non-empty. We may replace $\mathcal{F}$ by an open and dense subscheme of points only contained in the one irreducible component C. As $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant under right multiplication by K_N and m is contained in a bounded subscheme of LM, its image under γ is invariant under right multiplication by some (sufficiently small) open subgroup K_N' of K_N (this follows from the same proof as [9, Prop. 5.3.1], which carries over literally to the unramified case and the case $\operatorname{char} F = 0$). Thus it is enough to show that the image of $\gamma(\mathcal{F})$ in $\iota(T) \times (N(L) \cap \mu'(\epsilon)^{-1} K\mu K)/K_N'$ is open. Let $g_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $U = \operatorname{Spec} R$ be an affine open neighborhood of $\gamma(g_0)$ in $\mathcal{E}$. After possibly replacing K_N' by a smaller open subgroup we may assume that U is K_N'-invariant. Let (m_U, n_U) be the universal element. Then m_U and n_U are contained in bounded subsets of LM resp. LN. By Corollary 5.11 there is an étale covering R' of R and a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} R' \to \Phi$ such that the composite with γ and the quotient modulo K_N' maps $\operatorname{Spec} R'$ surjectively to U/K_N'. Intersecting $\operatorname{Spec} R'$ with the inverse image of the open subscheme $\mathcal{F}$ of Φ and using that $R \to R'$ is finite étale we obtain an open subscheme of $\operatorname{Spec} R'$, or of $\mathcal{F}$ mapping surjectively to an open neighbourhood of $g_0 K_N'$. This implies the claim.

Finally, we show show that all irreducible components of $\beta^{-1}(S)$ are contained in one $J_b(F) \cap N(L)$-orbit of irreducible components of $X_\mu^{P \subset G}(b)$. Let $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}'$ be irreducible components of $\beta^{-1}(S)$. We have to show that all dense open subsets D, D' of the two components contain points p, p' which are in the same $J_b(F)$-orbit. Consider the K_N-torsor

$$\phi \colon \Phi \to \beta^{-1}(S), \quad (m, n) \mapsto mnK_P.$$

Then it is enough to show that for all non-empty open subsets C_1, C_2 of Φ with $C_i K_N = C_i$ there are points $q_i \in C_i$ and a $j \in J$ with $\phi(q_1) = j\phi(q_2)$. This latter condition follows if we can show that $\gamma(q_1) = \gamma(q_2)$. But by Claim 2, $\gamma(C_1), \gamma(C_2)$ are both open and dense in $\mathcal{E}$, which implies the existence of such q_1, q_2. □

Corollary 5.9. *β_Σ induces a bijection*

$$(J_b(F) \cap P(L))\backslash\Sigma(X_\mu^{P \subset G}(b)) \overset{1:1}{\to} (J_b(F) \cap M(L))\backslash\Sigma(X_\mu^{M \subset G}(b))$$

which restricts to

$$(J_b(F)\cap P(L))\backslash\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_\mu^{P\subset G}(b)) \overset{1:1}{\to} (J_b(F)\cap M(L))\backslash\Sigma'(X_\mu^{M\subset G}(b)).$$

In particular $X_\mu^{P\subset G}(b)$ is equidimensional if and only if the $X_{\mu'}^M(b)$ are for all $\mu'\in I_{\mu,b}$.

We use the following notation. Let R be an integral k-algebra. In the arithmetic case we assume R to be perfect and let $\mathcal{R}=W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(R)$. In the function field case, let $\mathcal{R}=R[\![\epsilon]\!]$. In both cases let $\mathcal{R}_L=\mathcal{R}[1/\epsilon]$.

For $m\in M(\mathcal{R}_L)$ consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} f_m\colon LN_R &\to LN_R\\ n &\mapsto (m^{-1}n^{-1}m)\sigma(n).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.10 (Chen, Kisin, Viehmann)**.** *Let $b\in[b]\cap M(L)$ with $b\sigma(b)\cdots\sigma^{l_0-1}(b)=\epsilon^{l_0\nu_b}$ for some $l_0>0$ such that $l_0\nu_b\in X_*(T)$. Let R be an integral k-algebra, $\mathcal{R},\mathcal{R}_L$ as above and $y\in N(\mathcal{R}_L)$ contained in a bounded subscheme. Let further $x_1\in\operatorname{Spec}R(k)$ and $z_1\in N(L)$ with $f_b(z_1)=y(x_1)$. Then for any bounded open subgroup $K'\subset N(L)$ there exists a finite étale covering $R\to R'$ with associated $\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{R}'$ and $z\in N(\mathcal{R}'_L)$ such that*

(1) *for every k-valued point x of R' we have $f_b(z(x))K'=y(x)K'$*
(2) *there exists a point $x_1'\in\operatorname{Spec}R'(k)$ over x_1 such that $z(x_1')=z_1$.*

Proof. This is [5, Lemma 3.4.4], except for the fact that in loc. cit., R is assumed to be smooth, and only the case of mixed characteristic is considered. But actually, none of these assumptions is needed in the proof given there. □

Corollary 5.11. *Let $b\in[b]\cap M(L)$, R and $\mathcal{R}$ be as in the previous lemma. Let $m\in M(\mathcal{R}_L)$, and $y\in N(\mathcal{R}_L)$, each contained in a bounded subscheme. Let further $x_1\in\operatorname{Spec}R(k)$ and $z_1\in N(L)$ with $f_b(z_1)=y(x_1)$. Let $b_m=m^{-1}b\sigma(m)\in M(\mathcal{R}_L)$. Then for any bounded open subgroup $K'\subset N(L)$ there exists a finite étale covering $R\to R'$ with associated extension $\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{R}'$ and $z\in N(\mathcal{R}'_L)$ such that*

(1) *for every k-valued point x of R' we have $f_{b_m}(z(x))K'=y(x)K'$*
(2) *there exists a point $x_1'\in\operatorname{Spec}R'(k)$ over x_1 such that $z(x_1')=z_1$.*

Proof. For $n\in N(L)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{b_m}(n) &= (\sigma(m)^{-1}b^{-1}m)n^{-1}(m^{-1}b\sigma(m))\sigma(n)\\ &= \sigma(m)^{-1}b^{-1}(mn^{-1}m^{-1})b\sigma(mnm^{-1})\sigma(m)\\ &= \sigma(m)^{-1}f_b(mnm^{-1})\sigma(m).\end{aligned}$$

By the boundedness assumption on m, there is a bounded open subgroup K'' such that $\sigma(m(x))^{-1}K''\sigma(m(x))\in K'$ for all $\overline{k}$-valued points x of $\operatorname{Spec}R$. Applying Lemma 5.10 to $\sigma(m)y\sigma(m)^{-1}$ and K'', and conjugating the result by m, we obtain the desired lifting with respect to f_{b_m}. □

Theorem 5.12. *Let $\mu\in X_*(T)_{\mathrm{dom}}$ be minuscule, $b\in[b]\in B(G,\mu)$, and $\tilde\lambda\in X_*(T)$ an associated element. Then the map*

$$\phi=\alpha_\Sigma\circ\beta_\Sigma^{-1}\colon W.\mu\cap[\tilde\lambda+(1-\sigma)X_*(T)]\to J_b(F)\backslash\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_\mu(b))$$

constructed above is surjective and it is bijective if and only if $J_b(F)$ acts trivially on $(J_b(F)\cap P(L))\backslash\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_\mu(b))$.

Proof. From Lemma 5.2, Corollary 5.9, and (5.3) we obtain the claimed maps

$$\begin{aligned} W.\mu \cap [\tilde{\lambda} + (1-\sigma)X_*(T)] &= (J_b(F) \cap M(L))\backslash\Sigma(X_\mu^{M\subset G}(b)) \\ &\overset{\beta_\Sigma^{-1}}{\to} (J_b(F) \cap P(L))\backslash\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_\mu^{P\subset G}(b)) \\ &\overset{\alpha_\Sigma}{\twoheadrightarrow} J_b(F)\backslash\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_\mu(b)). \end{aligned}$$

As $\Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_\mu(b)) \cong \Sigma^{\mathrm{top}}(X_\mu^{P\subset G}(b))$, this description also implies the assertion about bijectivity. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The first assertion is a direct consequence of the previous theorem.

If G is split, then $W.\mu \cap [\tilde{\lambda} + (1-\sigma)X_*(T)] = \{\tilde{\lambda}\}$ has only one element, hence the map is also injective.

If the second condition holds, then $J_b(F) \subset P(L)$, hence α_Σ and also ϕ are bijective. □

References

[1] D. Armstrong, N. A. Loehr, and G. S. Warrington. Sweep maps: a continuous family of sorting algorithms. *Adv. Math.*, 284:159–185, 2015.

[2] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze. Projectivity of the Witt vector affine Grassmannian. `http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/scholze/WittGrass.pdf`. preprint.

[3] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXIV. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre IV: Groupes de Coxeter et systèmes de Tits. Chapitre V: Groupes engendrés par des réflexions. Chapitre VI: systèmes de racines.* Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1337. Hermann, Paris, 1968.

[4] M. Chen and E. Viehmann. Affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties and the action of J. Preprint, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.02806`, to appear in J. of Algebraic Geometry.

[5] M. Chen, M. Kisin, and E. Viehmann. Connected components of affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties in mixed characteristic. *Compos. Math.*, 151(9):1697–1762, 2015.

[6] A. J. de Jong and F. Oort. Purity of the stratification by Newton polygons. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(1):209–241, 2000.

[7] L. Fargues. Cohomologie des espaces de modules de groupes p-divisibles et correspondances de Langlands locales. in: Variétés de Shimura, espaces de Rapoport-Zink et correspondances de Langlands locales. *Astérisque* 291: 1–199, 2004.

[8] E. Gorsky and M. Mazin. Compactified Jacobians and q,t-Catalan numbers, I. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 120(1):49–63, 2013.

[9] U. Görtz, T. J. Haines, R. E. Kottwitz, and D. C. Reuman. Dimensions of some affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 39(3):467–511, 2006.

[10] P. Hamacher. The almost product structure of Newton strata in the Deformation space of a Barsotti-Tate group with crystalline Tate-tensors. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.03131v2`. preprint.

[11] P. Hamacher. The Dimension of Affine Deligne–Lusztig Varieties in the Affine Grassmannian. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):12804–12839, 2015.

[12] P. Hamacher. The geometry of Newton strata in the reduction modulo p of Shimura varieties of PEL type. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(15):2809–2895, 2015.

[13] U. Hartl and E. Viehmann. The Newton stratification on deformations of local G-shtukas. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 656:87–129, 2011.

[14] U. Hartl and E. Viehmann. Foliations in deformation spaces of local G-shtukas. *Adv. Math.*, 229(1):54–78, 2012.

[15] X. He and S. Nie. Minimal length elements of extended affine Weyl groups. *Compos. Math.*, 150(11):1903–1927, 2014.

[16] X. He and S. Nie. P-alcoves, parabolic subalgebras and cocenters of affine Hecke algebras. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 21(3):995–1019, 2015.

[17] X. He and R. Zhou On the connected components of affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties. `https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.06879v1`. preprint.

[18] R. E. Kottwitz. Isocrystals with additional structure. *Compositio Math.*, 56(2):201–220, 1985.

[19] Y. Mieda. On irreducible components of Rapoport-Zink spaces. `http://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~mieda/pdf/RZ-irr-comp.pdf`. preprint.

[20] I. Mirković and K. Vilonen. Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 166(1):95–143, 2007.
[21] G. Pappas and M. Rapoport. Twisted loop groups and their affine flag varieties. *Adv. Math.*, 219(1):118–198, 2008. With an appendix by T. Haines and Rapoport.
[22] M. Rapoport. A guide to the reduction modulo p of Shimura varieties. *Astérisque*, (298):271–318, 2005. Automorphic forms. I.
[23] M. Rapoport, T. Zink. A finiteness theorem in the Bruhat-Tits building: an application of Landvogt's embedding theorem. *Indag. Math.* 10: 449–458,1999.
[24] T. Richarz Affine Grassmannians and geometric Satake equivalences. *Int. Math. Res. Not., IMRN 2016* (12) 3717–3767, 2016
[25] E. Viehmann. On the geometry of the Newton stratification. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.03156`. preprint.
[26] E. Viehmann. Moduli spaces of p-divisible groups. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 17:341–374, 2008.
[27] E. Viehmann. The global structure of moduli spaces of polarized p-divisible groups. Documenta Mathematica, 13: 825–852, 2008.
[28] E. Viehmann. Newton strata in the loop group of a reductive group. *Amer. J. Math.*, 135(2):499–518, 2013.
[29] E. Viehmann and H. Wu. Central leaves in loop groups. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.09444`. preprint.
[30] I. Vollaard and T. Wedhorn. The supersingular locus of the Shimura variety of $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ II. *Invent. Math.*, 184: 591–627, 2011.
[31] N. Williams. Sweeping up Zeta. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.01483v2`. preprint.
[32] L. Xiao and X. Zhu. Cycles on modular varieties via the geometric Satake. preprint in preparation.
[33] X. Zhu. Affine Grassmannians and the geometric Satake in mixed characteristic. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.8519`. preprint.

Technische Universität München, Fakultät für Mathematik - M11, Boltzmannstr. 3, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany

E-mail address: `hamacher@ma.tum.de, viehmann@ma.tum.de`